

Answer sheet

Listening

Task 1

1.	B
2.	A
3.	A
4.	A
5.	B
6.	A B
7.	B A
8.	B
9.	B
10.	A

⊕
⊕
⊕
⊕
⊕
⊕
⊕
⊕
⊕
⊕

3

Task 2

11.	A
12.	C
13.	C
14.	B
15.	B

⊕
⊕
⊕
⊕
⊕

3

12

Reading

Task 1

16.	B
17.	A
18.	C
19.	A
20.	B
21.	B
22.	D
23.	C
24.	A
25.	D

⊕
⊕
⊕
⊕
⊕
⊕
⊕
⊕
⊕
⊕

8

A5

Task 2

26.	H
27.	F
28.	K
29.	E
30.	B
31.	I
32.	D
33.	C
34.	J
35.	A



10 18

Use of English

Task 1

36.	D
37.	A
38.	C
39.	C
40.	A
41.	D
42.	C



6

Task 2

0	wanted us to go
43.	accused his assistant in giving —
44.	is said to be owned
45.	might not have planned —
46.	is likely to win
47.	in order to catch
48.	was not born to be
49.	you were asking I advice —
50.	is launched in



4

15

Task 3

0	E
51.	G
52.	I
53.	C
54.	B
55.	A



5

45

One of the widely discussed issues nowadays is whether should people be "historically prepared" before observing city sights or not. In this essay I'm going to discuss both sides of this question and give my own opinion.

Personally, I firmly believe in benefits of this approach. I think it is a way more interesting to visit such places as palaces and kremlins knowing history behind them. For example, according to the researches, tourists that knew the history of ^{and visiting it} ~~founding it~~ The Moscow Kremlin got more pleasure than tourists who didn't. Moreover, finding information by your own helps with developing a "critical mind". Using various ~~literature~~ ^{resources} historical ~~knowledge~~ helps with finding true facts. For instance, in our modern world we can't ^{just} trust every site. There are many of them which try to confuse people with "historical information". The same situation may happen on excursions. A person who present you city sights might be not qualified enough and it may even lead to misunderstandings ^{in the future} ~~in the future~~ later.

However, it is not a secret that ~~you~~ ^{individuals} may feel less excitement during visiting various excursions with a special guide if they ^{are} already prepared with some knowledge. ~~As for the example,~~ Also, if adults want to visit ~~some~~ ^{some} ~~historical~~ ^{historical} ~~attractions~~ go sightseeing with their kids, most likely that their offspring will refuse

reading ~~extra~~ and ~~finding~~ ^{finding} extra information about sights as young people find this process quite boring.

As for me, I disagree with the second opinion as I appreciate truth and prefer ~~findings~~ ^{searching} all information by ~~my own~~ ^{my own}. ~~Blindly~~ ^{and not trusting} trusting guide's words is not my cup of tea. Additionally, I've been studying history since. Additionally, I often go sightseeing without a guide and I find ~~searching~~ ^{searching} in this process ~~absolutely~~ ^{absolutely} it becomes necessary to check some literature before going.

In conclusion, taking everything mentioned into account, I would argue that ~~everyone~~ ^{everyone} should read about historical sites before visiting the sights.