

A14

Answer sheet

Listening

Task 1

1.	B	+
2.	A	+
3.	A	+
4.	A	+
5.	B	+
6.	A	+
7.	B	-
8.	B	+
9.	B	+
10.	A	+

Task 2

11.	B B	-
12.	C C	+
13.	B	+
14.	B	+
15.	A	+

13

Reading

Task 1

16.	B	+
17.	A	+
18.	B	-
19.	A	+
20.	A	-
21.	B	+
22.	B	-
23.	C	+
24.	A	+
25.	D	-

Task 2

26.	H	+
27.	F	+
28.	I	-
29.	E	+
30.	B	+
31.	C	-
32.	A	-
33.	J	-
34.	D	-
35.	K	-

10

Use of English

Task 1

36.	D	+
37.	A	+
38.	C	+
39.	B	+
40.	A	+
41.	D	+
42.	C	+

Task 2

0	wanted us to go	
43.	accused that his assistant gave -	
44.	is said to be owned	+
45.	might have not planned	+
46.	is likely to win	+
47.	in order to catch	+
48.	was not born to be	+
49.	I were you I would	+
50.	is being launched	+

19

Task 3

0	E	
51.	G	+
52.	I	+
53.	C	+
54.	B	+
55.	A	+

42

Writing

Should one read about historical sites before sightseeing? This problem may arise when the talk is about visiting places of interest or places that are culturally important. From my point of view, reading about historical sites is unnecessary for sightseeing. I guess it is so because in the modern world there is no need to observe books to get the information that one needs.

Also, now people can use artificial intelligence to help them with visiting places they want to see. Some may have an opposing opinion and this fact is quite explainable. Given that there are people that don't know how to use the Internet and it is easier for them to read about historical sites than getting this information from other ~~ways~~ ^{sources}, including Internet.

Personally, I totally disagree with this opinion. I think that every single person in the modern world has basic knowledge of ~~the~~ the web and knows how to use it properly.

To conclude, I want to state that reading about historical sites is unnecessary because now people have lots of other reliable sources of information that are easier to use than books. Also, it is important to mention that ~~when~~ one does not have to read books about historical sites to go sightseeing.

13
Ducup
Cepeda